United States Department of Agriculture
Natural Resources Conservation Service

OMB No. 0578-0030
NRCS-PDM-20

# DAMAGE SURVEY REPORT (DSR)
## Emergency Watershed Protection Program – Recovery

NRCS Entry Only
Eligible: YES ☐ NO ☐
Approved: YES ☐ NO ☐
Funding Priority Number (from Section 4) ____
Limited Resource Area: YES ☐ NO ☐

**Section 1A**

Date of Report: 10/25/2005

DSR Number: 019-05-019R Project Number: GDD 4 W 3

**Section 1B Sponsor Information**

Sponsor Name: Gravity Drainage District 4 Ward 3

Address: 1204 Lakeshore Drive

City/State/Zip: Lake Charles/ LA 70601

Telephone Number: 337-433-1160 Fax: 433-7661

**Section 1C Site Location Information**

County: Calcasieu State: LA Congressional District: 07

Latitude Start: 30.17631 Stop 30.18439 Longitude Start: 93.23735 Stop: 93.23645 Section: 13, 24 Township: 10-S Range: 9-W

UTM Coordinates: ____

Drainage Name: McNeese St. to Sale Rd. Reach: McNeese Street North to 500' North of Sale Road (4400 ft.)

Damage Description: Trees, Branches, and debris in channel causing blockage and impeding water flow

**Section 1D Site Evaluation**

All answers in this Section must be YES in order to be eligible for EWP assistance.

| Site Eligibility | YES | NO | Remarks |
|---|---|---|---|
| Damage was a result of a natural disaster?* | ✔ | | |
| Recovery measures would be for runoff retardation or soil erosion prevention?* | ✔ | | |
| Threat to life and/or property?* | ✔ | | |
| Event caused a sudden impairment in the watershed?* | ✔ | | |
| Imminent threat was created by this event?** | ✔ | | |
| For structural repairs, not repaired twice within ten years?** | ✔ | | |
| **Site Defensibility** | | | |
| Economic, environmental, and social documentation adequate to warrant action (Go to pages 3, 4, 5 and 6 ***) | ✔ | | |
| Proposed action technically viable? (Go to Page 9 ***) | ✔ | | |

Have all the appropriate steps been taken to ensure that all segments of the affected population have been informed of the EWP program and its possible effects? YES ✔ NO ☐

Comments: Local Gravity Drainage District has been consulted.

* Statutory
** Regulation
*** DSR Pages 3 through 5 are required to support the decisions recorded on this summary page. If additional space is needed on this or any other page in this form, add appropriate pages.

DSR NO: 019-05-019R

**Section 1E Proposed Action**

Describe the preferred alternative from Findings: Section 5 A: Remove debris from channel, accessing from both ~~one~~ sides of channel, dispose of debris in an approved landfill.

Total installation cost identified in this DSR: Section 3: $ 97,500.00

**Section 1F NRCS State Office Review and Approval**

Reviewed By: _____ Date Reviewed: _____
State EWP Program Manager

Approved By: _____ Date Approved: _____
State Conservationist

**PRIVACY ACT AND PUBLIC BURDEN STATEMENT**

NOTE: The following statement is made in accordance with the Privacy Act of 1974, (5 U.S.C. 552a) and the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995, as amended. The authority for requesting the following information is 7 CFR 624 (EWP) and Section 216 of the Flood Control Act of 1950, Public Law 81-516, 33 U.S.C. 701b-1; and Section 403 of the Agricultural Credit Act of 1978, Public Law 95334, as amended by Section 382, of the Federal Agriculture Improvement and Reform Act of 1996, Public Law 104-127, 16 U.S.C. 2203. EWP, through local sponsors, provides emergency measures for runoff retardation and erosion control to areas where a sudden impairment of a watershed threatens life or property. The Secretary of Agriculture has delegated the administration of EWP to the Chief or NRCS on state, tribal and private lands.

Signing this form indicates the sponsor concurs and agrees to provide the regional cost-share to implement the EWP recovery measure(s) determined eligible by NRCS under the terms and conditions of the program authority. Failure to provide a signature will result in the applicant being unable to apply for or receive a grant the applicable program authorities. Once signed by the sponsor, this information may not be provided to other agencies. IRS, Department of Justice, or other State or Federal Law Enforcement agencies, and in response to a court or administrative tribunal.

The provisions of criminal and civil fraud statutes, including 18 U.S.C. 286, 287, 371, 641, 651, 1001; 15 U.S.C. 714m; and 31 U.S.C. 3729 may also be applicable to the information provided. According to the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995, an agency may not conduct or sponsor, and a person is not required to respond to a collection of information unless it displays a valid OMB control number. The valid OMB control number for this information collection is 0578-0030. The time required to complete this information collection is estimated to average 117/1.96 minutes/hours per response, including the time for reviewing instructions, searching existing data sources, field reviews, gathering, designing, and maintaining the data needed, and completing and reviewing the collection information.

**USDA NONDISCRIMINATION STATEMENT**

"The U.S. Department of Agriculture (USDA) prohibits discrimination in all its programs and activities on the basis of race, color, national origin, age, disability, and where applicable, sex, martial status, familial status, parental status, religion, sexual orientation, genetic information, political beliefs, reprisal, or because all or part of an individual's income is derived from any public assistance program. (Not all prohibited bases apply to all programms.) Persons with disabilities who require alternative means for communication of program information (Braille, large print, audiotape, etc.) should contact USDA's TARGET Center at (202)720-2600 (vocie and TDD). To file a complaint of discrimination, write to USDA, Director, Office of Civil Rights, 1400 Independence Avenue, SW., Washington, DC 20250-9410, or call (800)795-3272 (voice) or (202) 720-6382 (TDD). USDA is an equal opportunity provider and employer.

**Civil Rights Statement of Assurance**

The program or activities conducted under this agreement will be in compliance with the nondiscrimination provisions contained in the Titles VI and VII of the Civil Rights Act of 1964, as amended; the Civil Rights Restoration Act of 1987 (Public Law 100-259); and other nondiscrimination statutes: namely, Section 504 of the Rehabilitation Act of 1973, Title IX of the Amendments of 1972, the Age Discrimination Act of 1975, and the Americans with Disabilities Act of 1990. They will also be in accordance with regulations of the Secretary of Agriculture (7 CFR 15, 15a, and 15b), which provide that no person in the United States shall on the grounds of race, color, national origin, gender, religion, age or disability, be excluded from participation in, be denied the benefits of, or otherwise subjected to discrimination under any program or activity receiving Federal financial assistance from the U.S. Department of Agriculture or any agency thereof.

DSR NO: 019-05-019R

## Section 2 Environmental Evaluation

| 2A Resource Concerns | 2B Existing Condition | 2C Alternative Designation | | |
|---|---|---|---|---|
| | | Proposed Action | No Action | Alternative |
| | | Debris removal using conventional equipment, access from one side of the channel | Leave debris in stream | Debris removal using conventional equipment, access from both sides of channel. |
| | | 2D Effects of Alternatives | | |
| **Soil** | | | | |
| Bank erosion | 20 tons/acre - exposed soil due to damage | 5 tons/acre - remove debris, allow revegeetation | 20 tons/acre - potential for further damage from debris | 5 t/ac - rem debris, allow reveg |
| Compaction | N/A | slight increase due to equipment use | N/A | moderate increase equip. use |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| **Water** | | | | |
| **Downstream water rights** | | | | |
| DO | potential decrease in DO due to excess storm debris | increase DO through removal of excess storm debris | potential decrease in DO due to excess storm debris | potential decrease in DO due to excess storm debris |
| overall stream health | SVAP - 3 | SVAP - 4 | SVAP-2 | SVAP-4 |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| **Air** | | | | |
| particulate matter/dust | no effect | slight inc due debris removal | no effect | moderate inc due to debris removal |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| **Plant** | | | | |
| Aquatic health/vigor | Poor - low water quality | Increase function with improved water quality | Poor - low water quality | Increase function with improved water quality |
| Riparian plants habitat | Excess debris causing damage | Improved with debris removal | Slight increase over time | Improved with debris removal |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| **Animal** | | | | |
| Aquatic habitat | Poor - low water quality | Restore hab for emerg aquatics | poor - low water quality | Restore hab for emerg aquatics |
| Upland habitat | loss of food and cover habitat | restore food and cover habitat | loss of food and cover habitat | restore food and cover habitat |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| **Other** | | | | |
| Aesthetics | Poor due to clutter | Improved - remove clutter | Poor due to clutter | Improve - remove clutter |
| Vectors | pools of stagnant water due to debris in channel | Restore natural flow- dc vec | pools of stagnant water | restore natural flow-dc vec |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |

DSR NO: 019-05-019R

## Section 2E Special Environmental Concerns

| Resource Consideration | Existing Condition | Alternatives and Effects | | |
|---|---|---|---|---|
| | | Proposed Action | No Action | Alternative |
| Clean Water Act Waters of the U.S. | CWA Jurisdiction - decreased water quality and altered hydrology | CWA permit needed - improve water quality/ restore hydrology | continued decrease in water quality with debris composition and altered hydrology | CWA permit needed - improve water quality/ restore hydrology |
| Coastal Zone Management Areas | not within the Coastal Zone | N/A | N/A | N/A |
| Coral Reefs | no coral reefs present in project area | N/A | N/A | N/A |
| Cultural Resources | State level review - none observed on-site | State level review - none observed on-site | State level review - none observed on-site | State level review - none observed on-site |
| Endangered and Threatened Species | 2 T&E spp listed for Parish in FOTG - none likely to occur on-site | N/A | N/A | N/A |
| Environmental Justice | Area is of median to high income properties | N/A | N/A | N/A |
| Essential Fish Habitat | (FOTG) No EFH | N/A | N/A | N/A |
| Fish and Wildlife Coordination | Debris in channel restricting water flow resulting in various impairments | Proposed action does not include modification of the channel | N/A | Alternative does not include modification of the channel |
| Floodplain Management | Project area occurs within 100-year floodplain with function impaired by excess debris | Debris removal restore floodplain function | Continued floodplain impairment | Debris removal restore floodplain function |
| Invasive Species | Chinese tallow, Japanese honeysuckle, Chinese privet, Mimosa w/in floodplain | No increase or decrease in invasive species due urban location | No increase or decrease in invasive species due urban location | No increase or decrease in invasive species due urban location |
| Migratory Birds | Slight decrease in available habitat due to storm event | No additional effects to MBTA habitat from proposed action due to urban location | Excess debris contribute to increased flooding and decrease in available habitat | No additional effects to MBTA habitat from proposed action due to urban location |
| Natural Areas | No natural areas present in project area | N/A | N/A | N/A |
| Prime and Unique Farmlands | Stream channel within urban setting | N/A | N/A | N/A |
| Riparian Areas | Slight decrease in the riparian zone along channel - urban setting with limited riparian area | No additional effects to riparian area from proposed action due to urban location | Continued damage contribute to decrease in riparian area | No additional effects to riparian area from alternative action due to urban location |
| Scenic Beauty | No designated areas of Scenic Beauty within project area | N/A | N/A | N/A |
| Wetlands | No wetlands in project area - Highly developed urban location | N/A | N/A | N/A |
| Wild and Scenic Rivers | One Scenic River in Parish but does not occur in project area | N/A | N/A | N/A |

Completed By: Monica Wallace Date: 10/25/2005

DSR NO: 019-05-019R

**Section 2F Economic**

**This section must be completed by each alternative considered (attach additional sheets as necessary).**

| | Future Damages ($) | Damage Factor (%) | Near Term Damage Reduction |
|---|---|---|---|
| Properties Protected (Private) | 37,500,000.00 | 25 | 9,375,000.00 |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| Properties Protected (Public) | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| Business Losses | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| Other | | | |
| | 1,000,000.00 | 25 | 250,000.00 |
| | 80000 | 25 | 20,000.00 |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| Total Near Term Damage Reduction $ | | | 9,645,000.00 |
| Net Benefit (Total Near Term Damage Reduction minus Cost from Section 3) | | | 9,547,500.00 |

Completed By: Daniel D. Claussen Date: 10/25/2005

**Section 2G Social Consideration This section must be completed by each alternative considered**

**(attach additional sheets as necessary).**

| | YES | NO | Remarks |
|---|---|---|---|
| Has there been a loss of life as a result of the watershed impairment? | ☐ | ✔ | |
| Is there the potential for loss of life due to damages from the watershed impairment? | ✔ | ☐ | Emergency vehicles may not be able to get to the affected areas. Increased potential for children drowning in channel |
| Has access to a hospital or medical facility been impaired by watershed impairment? | ☐ | ✔ | If another event occurs, severe flooding is inevitable. |
| Has the community as a whole been adversely impacted by the watershed impairment (life and property ceases to operate in a normal capacity) | ✔ | ☐ | Impairment increased flooding impacts throughout the community |
| Is there a lack or has there been a reduction of public safety due to watershed impairment? | ✔ | ☐ | The next event would jeopardize public safety. Impact on McNeese and Sale Road could cause serious impededment to traffic flow in region |

Completed By: Daniel D. Claussen Date: 10/25/2005

DSR NO: _____
019-05-019R

**Section 2H Group Representation and Disability Information**

**This section is completed only for the preferred alternative selected.**

| Group Representation | Number |
|---|---|
| American Indian/Alaska Native Female Hispanic | 0 |
| American Indian/Alaska Native Female Non-Hispanic | 3 |
| American Indian/Alaska Native Male Hispanic | 0 |
| American Indian/Alaska Native Male Non-Hispanic | 0 |
| Asian Female Hispanic | 0 |
| Asian Female Non-Hispanic | 7 |
| Asian Male Hispanic | 0 |
| Asian Male Non-Hispanic | 6 |
| Black or African American Female Hispanic | 0 |
| Black or African American Female Non-Hispanic | 66 |
| Black or African American Male Hispanic | 0 |
| Black or African American Male Non-Hispanic | 67 |
| Hawaiian Native/Pacific Islander Female Hispanic | 0 |
| Hawaiian Native/Pacific Islander Female Non-Hispanic | 1 |
| Hawaiian Native/Pacific Islander Male Hispanic | 0 |
| Hawaiian Native/Pacific Islander Male Non-Hispanic | 0 |
| White Female Hispanic | 0 |
| White Female Non-Hispanic | 1,313 |
| White Male Hispanic | 0 |
| White Male Non-Hispanic | 1,314 |
| Total Group | 2764 |

Census tract(s) 19.01,19.02 Block 1019,1001,1002,1009,3001,3002

Completed By: Daniel D. Claussen Date: 10/25/2005

DSR NO: 019-05-019R

Section 2I. Required consultation or coordination between the lead agency and/or the RFO and another governmental unit including tribes:

Easements, permissions, or permits:

CWA permit, Water Quality Certification needed because of slight potential to grub stumps during debris removal.
404 Permit Required
Access to channel will require private landowner permission which must be obtained by the sponsor, access to the channel will most be accomplished from east side of the road.

Mitigation Description: both or indirectly LDWF personnel.

Accessing debris from ~~only one~~ sides of the channel. Debris will be removed from the project area and disposed of in an approved landfill. Proposed action will eliminate stagnant pools in the channel, reducing vector/disease potential. Action will be completed without interruption to reduce impact on nearby residencies

Agencies, persons, and references consulted, or to be consulted:

Corps of Engineers - permit required
LA DEQ - certification required
LDWF - consultation only

DSR NO: 019-05-019R

**Section 3 Engineering Cost Estimate**

Completed By: Daniel D. Claussen Date: 10/25/20051

**This section must be completed by each alternative considered (attach additional sheets as necessary).**

| Proposed Recovery Measure (including mitigation) | Quantity | Units | Unit Cost ($) | Amount ($) |
|---|---|---|---|---|
| Proposed Action- Debris removal with conventional equipment | | | | 0.00 |
| Mobilization & Demobilization | 1 | LS | 10,000.00 | 10,000.00 |
| Channel Obstruction Removal | 4,400 | LF | 19.75 | 86,900.00 |
| Seeding, Sprigging, & Mulching | 3 | Ac | 200.00 | 600.00 |
| | | | | 0.00 |
| | | | | 0.00 |
| | | | | 0.00 |
| | | | | 0.00 |
| | | | | 0.00 |
| | | | | 0.00 |
| | | | | 0.00 |
| | | | | 0.00 |
| | | | | 0.00 |
| | | | | 0.00 |
| | | | | 0.00 |
| | | | | 0.00 |
| | | | | 0.00 |
| | | | | 0.00 |
| | | | | 0.00 |
| | | | Total Installation Cost (Enter in Section 1F)$ | 97,500.00 |

Unit Abbreviations:

| | | | |
|---|---|---|---|
| AC | Acre | LS | Lump Sum |
| CY | Cubic Yard | SF | Square Feet |
| EA | Each | SY | Square Yard |
| HR | Hour | TN | Ton |
| LF | Linear Feet | Other (Specifiy) | |

DSR NO: 019-05-019R

**Section 4 NRCS EWP Funding Priority**

Complete the following section to compute the funding priority for the recovery measures in this application (see instructions on page 10).

| **Priority Ranking Criteria** | **Yes** | **No** | | **Ranking Number Plus Modifer** |
|---|---|---|---|---|
| 1. Is this an exigency situation? | ✔ | ☐ | | 1 d,e |
| 2. Is this a site where there is serious, but not immediate threat to human life? | ☐ | ☐ | | |
| 3. Is this a site where buildings, utilities, or other important infrastructure components are threatened? | ☐ | ☐ | | |
| 4. Is this site a funding priority established by the NRCS Chief? | ☐ | ☐ | | |
| **The following are modifiers for the above criteria** | | | **Modifier** | |
| a. Will the proposed action or alternatives protect or conserve federally-listed threatened and endangered species or critical habitat? | | | No | |
| b. Will the proposed action or alternatives protect or conserve cultural sites listed on the National Register of Historic Places? | | | No | |
| c. Will the proposed action or alternatives protect or conserve prime or important farmland? | | | No | |
| d. Will the proposed action or alternatives protect or conserve existing wetlands? | | | Yes | |
| a. Will the proposed action or alternatives maintain or improve current water quality conditions? | | | Yes | |
| f. Will the proposed action or alternatives protect or conserve unique habitat, including but not limited to, areas inhabited by State-listed species, fish and wildlife management area, or State identified sensitive habitats? | | | No | |

Enter priority computation in Section 1A, NRCS Entry, Funding priority number.

Remarks:

DSR NO: 019-05-019R

**Section 5A Findings**

**Finding: Indicate the preferred alternative from Section 2 (Enter to Section 1E):**

*I have considered the effects of the action and the alternatives on the Environmental Economic, Social; the Special Environmental Concerns; and the extraordinary circumstances (40 CFR 1508.27). I find for the reasons stated below, that the preferred alternative:*

✔ Has been sufficiently analyzed in the EWP PEIS (reference all that apply)
Chapter 5.2.2.1.2
Chapter _____
Chapter _____
Chapter _____
Chapter _____

____ May require the preparation of an environmental assessment or environmental impact statement. The action will be referred to the NRCS State Office on this date:

NRCS representative of the DSR team Charles Stuthard

Title: ~~Brian Baiamonte, Soil Conservationist~~ Date: ~~10/20/05~~ 11-1-05

**Section 5B Comments:** Disposal site will be determined in cooperation with sponsor prior to bid letting.

**Section 5C** **Sponsor Concurrence:**

**Sponsor Representative**

Title: Michael L. Wattle Date: 11/01/05

**Section 6 Attachments:**

A. Location Map
B. Site Plan or Sketches
C. Other (explain)

- Accessing channel will be from either side as directed by GDD 4 W3 personnel, prior to commencement of work
- All locations will be restored, as near as possible, to pre-storm conditions
- Any damages to utilities, especially sewer & ~~H2O~~ water, shall be repaired by the contractor, at his expense
- All property corners shall protected from damage during the work.

## INSTRUCTIONS FOR COMPLETING THE NRCS-PDM-20, DSR

| | Explanation of Requested Item | Who Completes |
|---|---|---|
| **Section 1** | Enter Site Sponsor, Location, Evaluation, Selected Alternative, and Reviewed and Approval Signatures. | NRCS completes with voluntary assistance from Sponsor except for NRCS only portion of Section 1A. |
| **1A** | Enter the Date, DSR Number, Project Number. For NRCS only enter Eligible Yes/No, Approved Yes/No, Funding Priority Number, and Limited Resource Area Yes/No. | |
| **1B** | Enter Sponsor Name, Address, Telephone, Fax | |
| **1C** | Enter site location County, State, Congressional District, Latitude, Longitude, Section, Township, Range, UTM Coordinates, Drainage Name, Reach within drainage, and Damage Description. | |
| **1D** | Enter Yes/No and any Remarks for the Site Evaluation information. Any No response means the site is not eligible for EWP assistance and no further information is necessary to complete the DSR. (See NEWPPM 390-502.03 and 390-502-04)<br>Enter Yes/No regarding whether the affected public has been informed of the EWP program. | |
| **1E** | Enter the proposed treatment and the cost of installation. | NRCS only. |
| **1F** | NRCS Review and Approval. | |

| | Explanation of Requested Item | Who Completes |
|---|---|---|
| **Section 2** | Use available natural resource, economic, and social, information, including the EWP Programmatic Environmental Impact Statement (PEIS), to briefly describe the effects of the alternatives to the proposed action including the "no action" alternative.<br>Typically, the proposed action and no action are the alternatives considered for EWP recovery measures due to the focus on repairing or preventing damages within a watershed. However, in cases where additional alternatives are considered, include all pertinent information to adequately address the additional alternatives (e.g., proposed action would be bio-engineering for bank stabilization, no action alternative, and an additional alternative may be riprap for bank stabilization).<br>Do not leave blanks where a consideration is not applicable, use NA to indicate the factor was considered but not applicable for the alternative. | NRCS completes with voluntary assistance from Sponsor. |
| **2A** | List all resource concerns which are relevant to the area of the proposed action and alternatives. Refer to National Bulletin 450-5-8 TCH-COMPLETING AND FILING MEASUREMENT UNITS FOR RESOURCE CONCERNS IN THE FIELD OFFICE TECHNICAL GUIDE (FOTG). Note: the affected area may extend beyond the construction foot print (ex. where water quality or water rights are affected downstream of the site). | |
| **2B** | Provide a brief description of the present condition of each resource concern listed in 2A. Quantify conditions where possible. Reference accompanying photo documentation. | |
| **2C** | Briefly summarize the practice/system of practices being proposed, as well as the "no action" alternative, and any other alternatives being considered. The "no action" alternative is the predicted future condition if no action is taken. | |
| **2D** | Document the efforts of the proposed action and alternatives for the considerations listed in 2A. Reference applicable quality criteria, information in the CPPE, and quantify effects whenever possible. Consider both long-term and short-term effects. Consider any effects which may be individually minor but cumulatively significant at a larger scale or over an extended time period. Clearly define the differences between proposed action, no action, and the other alternatives. | |

| | | |
|---|---|---|
| **2E** | Enter Special Environmental Concerns for Clean Water Act Waters of the U.S., Coastal Zone Management Areas, Coral Reefs, Cultural Resources, Endangered and Threatened Species, Environmental Justice, Essential Fish Habitat, Fish and Wildlife Coordination, Floodplain Management, Invasive Species, Migratory Birds, Natural Areas, Prime and Unique Farmlands, Riparian Areas, Scenic Beauty, Wetlands, and Wild and Scenic Rivers for each alternative considered. In the case where the selected alternative from Section 5A impacts a Special Environmental Concern, additional information, coordination, permitting or mitigation may be required and adequate documentation should be prepared and attached to the DSR to identify how NRCS or the Sponsor addressed the concern | |
| **2F** | Identify Property Protected both private and public, business losses and other economic impacts considered for each alternative. Enter the dollar value of the potential future damages if no action is taken in the Future Damage (5) column. This would be the estimate of the value lost if the EWP recovery measure is not installed. Use the repair cost or damage dollar method to determine the estimate of future damages. The repair cost method uses the costs to return the impaired property, good, or services based on their original pre-event condition or value. The damage dollar method uses an estimate of the future damage to value (e.g. if the structure is condemned, then enter the value of the structure). Enter the estimated amount based upon existing information or information furnished by the sponsor, contractors or others with specific knowledge for recovery from natural disasters for each alternative considered. Often market values for properties or services can be obtained from personnel at the local county/parish tax assessment office. The DSI team needs to determine the Damage Factor (%) which is a coefficient that indicates the degree of damage reduction to a property that is attributed to the effect of the proposed EWP recovery measures. Use an appropriate estimate of how much of the damage the EWP recovery measure will avoid for the alternative being considered. If the recovery measures from a single site will prevent 100 percent of the damage use 100 percent. The Near Term Damage Reduction is the Future Damage ($) times the Damage Factor (%). Sum the Near Term Damage Reduction values to calculate the Total Near Term Damage Reduction. Enter the Net Benefit which is computed by subtracting the Cost from section 3 from the total near term damage reduction. The economic section must be completed for each alternative considered. Attach additional sheets as necessary. | |
| **2G** | Enter information to describe the potential social impacts and considerations for each alternative. Answer Yes or No and any remarks necessary to adequately address each question. The information may be obtained through interviews with community leaders, government officials or sponsors. Factors such as road closures, loss of water, electricity, access to emergency services are used when answering whether the community as a whole has been impaired. This information is part of the environmental evaluation portion of the DSR but may be pertinent in Section 4 regarding priorities. The Social Considerations Section must be completed for each alternative considered. Attach additional sheets as necessary. | |
| **2H** | Enter the Group Representation Information for the preferred alternative. Use the most recent census tract information based upon where the EWP recovery measures are located. | Sponsor completes. |

| | | |
|---|---|---|
| **2I** | Enter whether easement, permissions, or permits, and mitigation will require consultation or coordination for the selected alternative (e.g., Clean Water Act section 404 permit, Endangered Species Act section 10 permits, and any State or county permits or requirements). Describe mitigation to be applied that will offset any adverse impacts and attach any documentation from other agencies regarding mitigation requirements. | NRCS completes with voluntary assistance from Sponsor. |

| | **Explanation of Requested Item** | **Who Completes** |
|---|---|---|
| **Section 3** | Enter Proposed Recovery Measure(s) including Quantity, Units, Unit Cost, and Total Amount Cost.<br>Enter sum of all Proposed Recovery Measure Costs to calculate Total Costs. Enter Total Installation Costs in Section 1F.<br>The Engineering Cost Estimate must be completed for each alternative considered. Attach additional sheets as necessary. | NRCS completes with voluntary assistance from Sponsor. |

| | **Explanation of Requested Item** | **Who Completes** |
|---|---|---|
| **Section 4** | This section is used to determine the Funding Priority for the preferred alternative and sequence for initiating recovery measures. Enter Yes/No for questions 1 through 4 and enter the number (exigency 1, serious threat to human life 2, etc.) in the right column, Ranking Number Plus Modifier. Complete the Modifier portion by placing the alphabetic indicator a. through f. in the Modifier column. Complete the Ranking Number Plus Modifier column by entering the alphabetic indictor(s) that exists within the site. The number of the site designates the priority (e.g., a site with a designation of 2 is a higher priority that a site with a designation of 3). The modifiers increase the priority for the same numeric site (e.g., a site with a designation of 1a, would be a higher priority than a site with a designation of 1, a site with a designation of 2bc would be a higher priority than a site designated as 2b). Enter the Funding Priority in Section 1A. | NRCS completes with voluntary assistance from Sponsor. |

| | **Explanation of Requested Item** | **Who Completes** |
|---|---|---|
| **Section 5** | Enter the Findings, Rationale Supporting Findings, NRCS Representative signature and Comments, and Concurrence signature by the Sponsor(s). | NRCS completes. |
| **5A** | Indicate the preferred alternative and check the applicable finding being made. The NRCS Representative signs indicating the Finding selected. If the proposed action was adequately addressed in the PEIS, check all appropriate chapter paragraphs. | |
| **5B** | Enter any additional Comments. | |
| **5C** | Sponsor(s) review and concurrence. | Sponsor(s) signature. |

| | | |
|---|---|---|
| **Section 6** | Include attachments for location map, site sketch or plan and other information as needed. | NRCS completes with voluntary assistance from Sponsor. |

# SECTION 6

# ATTACHMENTS

# Vicinity Location Map

Calcasieu Parish

DSR# 019-05-019R

McNeese Street to Sale Road



SITE MAP
DSR 019-05-019R
McNeese Street
Calcasieu Parish
Estimated Reach Length 4,400 LF

Start - 500' North of Sale Road
N-30.18439,
W-93.23645

End- McNeese St
N-30.17631,
W-93.23645

DSR# 019-05-019R
Medium Blockage

Location - McNeese St to 500' past Sale Rd
T-10S,R9W Sec 13,24

TOPO MAP
DSR 019-05-019R
McNeese Street
Calcasieu Parish
Estimated Reach Length 4,400 LF




http://www.topozone.com/print.asp?z=15&n=3338344.88474545&e=477143.866881877&s=50&size=m...

**DSR NO: 019-05-019R**

**CHANNEL: McNeese Street to Sale Road**

**PARISH/SPONSOR: Gravity Drainage District #4**

**Debris Removal**




**Note:** Contract is to remove Debris from upstream and downstream Bridge which includes underside of bridge
**Exception:** All Crossing which cross State or Federal highways are not included in contract

Typical Road Bridge Crossing
**Not to Scale**

Notice:
48 Hours Before Digging
Call 1-800-272-3020

**DSR NO: 019-05-019R**

**CHANNEL: McNeese Street to Sale Road**

**PARISH/SPONSOR: Gravity Drainage District #4**

**Debris Removal**




**Note:** Contract is to remove Debris from upstream and downstream Culverts which includes inside of culverts
**Exception:** All Crossing which cross State or Federal highways are not included in contract

Typical Road Culvert type Crossing
**Not to Scale**

Notice:
48 Hours Before Digging
Call 1-800-272-3020

**DSR NO: 019-05-019R**

**CHANNEL: McNeese Street to Sale Road**

**PARISH/SPONSOR: Gravity Drainage District #4**

**Debris Removal**




Typical Section Not to Scale

Notice:
48 Hours Before Digging
Call 1-800-272-3020

***Note** :Rights of way on both sides*

***Exception** it may be possible that trees which were located outside of the the right of way may have fallen into the right of way, these trees will be removed which may be located outside of the work limits.*

| | | |
|---|---|---|
| Calcasieu Parish | **DSR No:** 019-05-019R | Selected Alternative |
| McNeese Street North to 500' North of Sale Road | **Section 5 Engineering Cost Estimate Worksheet** | |
| **Completed By:** Daniel D. Claussen | | **Date:** 25-Oct-05 |

**Type of Work:** Debris Removal

**Location of Work:**

| Township(s) | Range(s) | Section(s) | Quadrangle(s) |
|---|---|---|---|
| 10S | 9W | 13,24 | Lake Charles |

| | *McNeese Street* | | *Sale Road* | | | |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| | *Latitude* | *Longitude* | *Latitude* | *Longitude* | *Latitude* | *Longitude* |
| **Downstream Start:** | 30.17631 | 93.23735 | 30.18439 | 93.23645 | | |
| **Upstream End:** | | | | | | |

**Estimated Length of Work Segment (ft):** 4,400

| Item No. | Proposed Recovery Measure | Quantity | Units | Unit Cost | Amount |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| 1 | Mobilization & Demobilization | 1 | LS | $10,000.00 | $10,000 |
| 2 | Channel Obstruction Removal | 4,400 | LF | $19.75 | $86,900 |
| 3 | Seeding, Sprigging and Mulching | 3 | AC | $200.00 | $600 |
| 4 | | | | | $0 |
| 5 | | | | | $0 |

**Note:** Estimated cost of debris removal includes labor and hauling of material to landfill.

**Total Estimated Construction Cost** **$97,500**

**Performance Time:**

| Production Rate | Segment Length | Production Time | Contract Time |
|---|---|---|---|
| 750 Ft/Day | 4,400 Ft | 5.87 Days | 6 Days |

**Estimated Cost of Equipment with Labor** ***(Per Mike Kennedy's Email 09/22/04)***

Description of Work: Medium

Cost per LF
$19.75

**Estimated Cost of Seeding with Labor**

| Segment Length | Segment Width | No.of Segment | Acres | Cost per Ac | **Total Cost** |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| 4,400 Ft. | 15 Ft. | 2 | 3 | $200 | $600 |

**Comments:**

Selected Alternative involves both sides of channel and 15 ft. of top bank and removing only debris obstructing channel section, NOT floodplains. Measured 10' from Centerline to either side. Work will extend Upstream 1500' from Bushnell Road Intersection of Drain

# Channel Obstruction Evaluation

| SITE INFORMATION | | | |
|---|---|---|---|
| Parish: Calcasieu | Site: McNeese St to Sale Rd | | |
| City: Lake Charles | | | |
| Sponsor: GDD 4 W3 | Reach: | From - McNeese St | |
| Date: 25 Oct 05 | | To - Sale RD | |
| Evaluation Team: CLAUSSEN | | | |

| PHOTO NUMBERS AND BRIEF DESCRIPTION | | WAYPOINTS (CIRCLE location and record in Decimal Degrees | |
|---|---|---|---|
| Photo # | Description | Start Work (D/S end) | N 30.18439 |
| | SEE ATTACHED | Midstream | |
| | | End Work (U/S end) | W 93.23645 |

| NEARBY AND UPSTREAM STRUCTURES (Fill in Numbers, Values, and Size | | | | | |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| CHURCHES | | SCHOOLS | | PUBLIC FACILITIES | |
| No. of Churches | 0 | No. of Schools | 0 | No. of Public Facilities | 0 |
| HOMESITES | | BUSINESSES | | | |
| No. of Homesites | 150 | No. of Businesses | 0 | | |
| Average Value of Homes ( X $1,000 ) | 250,000 | Size of Businesses | S M L | | |

| STREAM CROSSINGS (CIRCLE type and write material, size and length | | |
|---|---|---|
| TYPE | MATERIAL | NUMBER, SIZE, & LENGTH |
| Bridge | Concrete | 2 - 75' x 40' Sale + McNeese |
| Culverts | 8 | Inlet culverts CPP |
| Other or None | 0 | |

| UTILITIES (CHECK the location of the utilities in the area and CIRCLE stream orientation) | | | |
|---|---|---|---|
| X | Overhead (Power, Cable, etc.) | U/S | D/S |
| | Buried (Gas, Sewer, water, etc.) | U/S | D/S |
| | Elevated Cross channel (Water, Gas, etc.) | U/S | D/S |
| Remarks: | | | |

| CHANNEL CHARACTERISTICS (CHECK appropriate box for slope and fill in dimensions informatio | | | | FLOW | |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| SLOPES | | DIMENSIONS | | Is Water Flowing? | |
| | 1.5 : 1 or steeper | Top Width (ft.) | 40 | YES | NO |
| X | 1.5 : 1 through 3 : 1 Slope | Bottom Width (ft.) | 15 | Is debris accumulating? (i.e. Leaves, Trash) | |
| | Flatter than 3 : 1 | Depth (ft.) | 8 | YES | NO |

| STATEMENT OF PROBLEM (CHECK the boxes as needed, and CIRCLE the size of debris that applies) | | | | | | | |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| DEBRIS | IN CHANNEL | ACROSS CHANNEL | SIZE OF DEBRIS | | | BLOCKAGE % of X-Section Obstructed: | |
| Pine Trees | X | X | Light | Moderate | Heavy | Less than 25% | 26%-50% |
| Hardwoods | X | X | | | | 51%-75% | 76%-100% |
| Shrubs | X | X | | | | | |
| Other (explain) | | | | | | | |

| WORK METHOD AND LOCATION (CHECK the box that best applies, | |
|---|---|
| | Within Channel Floating Equipment (i.e. Barge or Marsh Buggy) |
| | Within Channel Non - Floating Equipment (Excavator/Track-hoe, Spider, etc) |
| X | From Top Banks |

**ACCESS TO SITE** (Explain access issues and possible difficulties)

Right of Way to channel can be accomplished most probably from east